## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

:
: 1:20-md-02974-LMM
: Civil Action No.:
<ul><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li></ul>
COMPLAINT
ned below, and for her/their Complaint
corporate(s) the Second Amended Master
79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference.
Paragard: Lia Katrina Uychaco
f a party to the case): N/A

State of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff representative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's of complaint:  California  State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard place California  State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard remo California  District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and would be proper:  U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defe against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five Defendants are the only defendants against whom a Short		
State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard remo California  District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and ve would be proper: U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defe against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following fi	repres	entative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's ori
District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and verwould be proper:  U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defeagainst whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five		
would be proper:  U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defe against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following fi		<u> </u>
against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following fi	would	d be proper:
Defendants are the only defendants against whom a Short		
Defendance are the only defendance against whom a bholt	D.C.	ndants are the only defendants against whom a Short

in a Short Form Complaint.):

<b>'</b>	A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
<b>/</b>	B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
<b>/</b>	C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
<b>~</b>	D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
<b>/</b>	E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
9.	Basis of Jurisdiction
<b>/</b>	Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
	Other (if Other, identify below):
10.	

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing	Date Plaintiff's	Removal
had Paragard	Physician(s) or	Paragard was Removed	Physician(s) or other
placed	other Health Care	(DD/MM/YYYY)*	Health Care Provider
(DD/MM/YYYY)	Provider (include City and State)	*If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately.	(include City and State)**  **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately.
08/07/2017	One Medical Emeryville (Emeryville, CA)	02/14/2022	Sutter East Bay Medical Foundation (Berkeley, CA)

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her
	Paragard upon removal.
<b>✓</b>	Yes
	No
12.	Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:  Plaintiff's Paragard broke upon removal and one arm was retained.
	Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to her.
13.	Product Identification:  a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known):  516006
	b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the
	HealthCare Provider who placed your Paragard:
	Yes
	✓ No
14.	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):
<b>/</b>	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect
<b>'</b>	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn
<b>'</b>	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect
<ul><li>V</li><li>V</li><li>V</li></ul>	Count IV – Negligence
<b>✓</b>	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect
<b>/</b>	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn

<b>'</b>	Cou	nt IX – Negligent Misrepresentation
<b>'</b>	Cou	nt X – Breach of Express Warranty
<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	Cou	nt XI – Breach of Implied Warranty
	Cou	nt XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
<u></u>	Cou	nt XIII – Gross Negligence
<b>✓</b>	Cou	nt XIV – Unjust Enrichment
ソソソソ	Cou	nt XV – Punitive Damages
$\overline{}$	Cou	nt XVI – Loss of Consortium
	Othe	er Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims
not i	include	ed in the Master Complaint below):
15.	"Tol	ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?
	<b>V</b>	Yes
	$\overline{\Box}$	No
	b.	If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond
		the facts alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts
		the facts alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:

16.	Coun	at VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission)
	allega	ations:
	a.	Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud &
		Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim
		for fraud or misrepresentation?
	<b>/</b>	Yes
		No
	b.	If Yes, the following information must be provided (in
		accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9,
		and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state
		law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: Paragard was safe for use as a means of long-term birth
		control and was as safe or safer than other products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: The Defendants.
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her healthcare providers.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made: The statements were made on various dates since its approval by the
		FDA in 1984.
17.	If Pla	nintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging
	facts	beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following
	infor	mation must be provided:
	a.	What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? $^{\mathrm{N/A}}$

Jury De	mand:		
Jury Tri	al is demanded as to al	counts	
Jury Tri	al is NOT demanded a	to any count	

s/R. Andrew Jones; Stephen Hunt, Jr.

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

<u>2131 Magnolia Ave South, Birmingham, AL 3</u>5023 (205) 328-2200

R. Andrew Jones (asb-0096-i11r) ajones@corywatson.com Stephen Hunt, Jr. (asb-3621-n62h) shunt@corywatson.com